

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/508,512	03/24/2000	ROBERT ARTHUR HENRY EDWARDS	REF/EDWARDS/	3037
7590 10/19/2004			EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS			CROSS, LATOYA I	
625 SLATERS LANE 4TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			1743	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	A liestion No	14 11 11				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/508,512	EDWARDS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LaToya I. Cross	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
1		mely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). d, may reduce any				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)	awn from consideration. are rejected. ed to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

This Office Action is in response to Applicants' amendments filed on June 29, 2004. Claims 42-77 are pending.

Withdrawal of Rejections from Previous Office Action

- The anticipatory rejection over Kwasnick is withdrawn in view of Applicants' argument that Kwasnick teach a hygroscopic agent near the scintillator and not actually on the scintillator.
- The anticipatory rejection over Schellenberg is withdrawn in view of Applicants' argument that the reference fails to teach the scintillator inside a light-tight container having an inlet to allow gases to contact the scintillator. Likewise, the obviousness rejection over Schellenberg in view of Atomic Energy is withdrawn also.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2.. Claims 42-52, 57, 60-64, 66-73, 76 and 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atomic Energy (GB patent 1,092,797) in view of US patent 4,019,864 to Saito et al or US patent 5,080,693 to Bourne et al.

Atomic Energy discloses detection of tritium in air and vapors. The reference discloses the use of a plastic phosphor scintillation material for good light collection efficiency. See page 3, lines 1-5 and 52-60. With respect to the inlet/outlet ports Atomic Energy '797 discloses a

Art Unit: 1743

preferred embodiment comprising a detector cell having inlet and outlet parts, as well as optically clear windows (page 2, lines 68-96). Specifically, Atomic Energy discloses that the scintillator (20) is disposed within gas and liquid tight container (10). The container has an inlet port (13) and an outlet port (14), as recited in claims 24 and 26. Figure 4 of the reference shows pump (51) used to move air (tritium containing sample) to the detector cell and in contact with the scintillator, as recited in claim 46. This allows efficient detection of tritium by allowing pure gases to flow inward and outward. For measuring, Atomic Energy '797 discloses using photomultiplier tubes that are fed through amplifiers, which in turn feed rate meter circuits and recording meters. The reference discloses that the air pump draws air into the inlet and pass the scintillator. Scintillation light is piped to the windows which are juxtaposed to the photomultiplier tubes. The tubes are energized and the outputs are fed through amplifiers to a circuit and an indicating or recording meter (page 4, lines 94-115). Further, the reference discloses plastic phosphors as scintillator materials for measuring tritium.

Atomic Energy differs from the instantly claimed invention in that there is no disclosure of a hygroscopic material on the scintillator element.

Both Saito et al and Bourne et al teach that tritium can be collected by being absorbed on an absorbent material, such as silica gel or zirconium alloy getters. Both references teach that in monitoring tritium in air, the water content in air is absorbed by the absorbent, followed with measuring the radioactivity by scintillation counting. See col. 1, lines 58-68 of Saito et al and col. 1, lines 59-68, col. 3, lines 24-43 of Bourne et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a hygroscopic material, such as silica gel or zirconium alloy, to the scintillator element of Atomic Energy to allow any tritium in the air to be absorbed onto the scintillator element. Such would assure that tritium is effectively collected and assure that the measurement is accurate.

3. Claim 59 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atomic Energy and Saito et al or Bourne et al as applied to claims 42-52, 57, 60-64, 66-73, 76 and 77 above, and further in view of US patent 5,166,073 to Lefkowitz et al.

Neither Atomic Energy nor Saito et al or Bourne et al disclose zinc sulfide as a scintillator element.

Zinc sulfide is also a known scintillation material for measuring tritium, as taught by Lefkowitz et al (col. 3, lines 24-25 and col. 5, lines 19-28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the scintillator of Atomic Energy (plastic phosphor) with zinc sulfide because due to its known ability to effectively monitor tritium in air.

4. Claim 65 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atomic Energy and Saito et al or Bourne et al as applied to claims 42-52, 57, 60-64, 66-73, 76 and 77 above, and further in view of US Patent 3,945,797 to Mlinko et al.

Neither Atomic Energy nor Saito et al or Bourne et al disclose the addition of zeolite in the scintillator.

Mlinko et al teaches a method for measuring tritium isotopes. The method involves contacting tritiated water with a contact catalyst on an aluminum oxide substrate. The contact catalyst is responsible for absorbing the tritiated water. Mlinko et al teaches that zeolites are suitable for due to their ability to readily absorbe water allow the tritium to firmly bond to it (col. 4, line 62 – col. 5, line 20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a zeolite into the scintillator of Atomic Energy to help absorb tritiated water and aid in the contact of tritium in the sample with the scintillator.

Application/Control Number: 09/508,512

Art Unit: 1743

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 53-56, 58, 64, 74-75 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest zinc chloride, potassium acetate, phosphoric acid or lithium chloride as a layer formed on a solid scintillator material, as recited in claim 64. With respect to claims 53, 54, 74 and 75, the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest a non-discriminating monitor in addition to the first scintillator. Further, with respect to claims 55 and 56, the prior art of record fails to teach a second sealed radiation monitor to account for background radiation field or the incorporation of the scintillator into a breathing mask (claim 58).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaToya I. Cross whose telephone number is 571-272-1256. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lic

Jill Warden
Supervebry Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700